

CONFIDENTIAL

August 23, 1982

TO: Dave Chandler

FROM: Jerry Comisar
Jason Soo

JSC
JS

SUBJECT: USE OF AN ID ROM TO PROTECT DECADE DISKETTES

In designing a disk operating system (DOS) for the Decade expansion module, we have an opportunity to enhance the security of the system against software piracy. The idea is to write a secret number in nonvolatile memory within each expansion module. A newly purchased diskette would come with a "Zero" serial number buried in each record header. The DOS would look for the diskette serial number. If it were "Zero" the unique serial number for that machine would be written into each record header; if it were neither "Zero" nor its own serial number the diskette would be erased. Thereafter the DOS would always look for the proper serial number. Thus the user could make as many backup diskettes as he needed, but they could only be used on his machine.

The idea of an ID ROM has been proposed before as a security measure.* In our case, we can build the protection right into the basic system architecture. In particular we suggest:

- 1) DOS read-write logic be put in ROM to avoid re-booting.
- 2) DOS utilities be performed only in the supervisor mode, while game software only be executed in user mode. Hence the user is shut out of the operating system.
- 3) All supervisor calls be vectored out of a hardware protected RAM page. This can be accomplished by nand-ing together the address lines A8-A19, the address strobe AS, and the CPU supervisor pin FC2, via the decoding logic inside the expansion box, to generate a BERR exception. This denies the user the ability to re-write any system vectors.

*See Chris Morgan, "How Can We Stop Software Piracy," BYTE, May 1981, Page 6.

The ID number ROM would not need to be given a unique part number. In fact, the unique serial number could be blown in during the last stages of board manufacture, even during automatic test or burn-in. If a non-volatile memory device is included in the system for high score record keeping, it could also share the ID function; however, to protect security we would need to restrict all writing to that device to protected supervisor calls.

This protection mechanism would not be a restraint against non-Mattel software, since DOS could look for the Mattel copyright notice before enforcing protection.

No security measure, including this one, can prevent the sophisticated pirate from doing a nibble copy of any protected diskette. However, by clever DOS design one can deny the pirate the use of a Mattel machine to perform his dastardly deeds. Furthermore, one can complicate things enough to frustrate the use of a personal computer and common copy utilities such as ZAP.*

*See Don Worth and Pieter Lechner, "Beneath Apple DOS", Quality Software, 1981.

JC/bb

cc: D. Hostetler
L. Pumphrey